



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,721	02/14/2002	Antoine Berthet	28944/38252	1625
8968	7590	11/28/2005	EXAMINER	
GARDNER CARTON & DOUGLAS LLP ATTN: PATENT DOCKET DEPT. 191 N. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 3700 CHICAGO, IL 60606			TRAN, KHANH C	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	2631

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/075,721	BERTHET ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Khanh Tran	2631	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 September 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 8-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 6,7,11 and 12 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The Amendment filed on 09/12/2005 has been entered. Claims 1-12 are pending in this Office action.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 9-12 under Remarks of the Amendment, filed on, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-12 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Hammons, Jr. et al. U.S. Patent 6,678,263 B1.

3. The rejection of claims 2-4 and 6-7 under 35 U.S.C 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, has been withdrawn after Applicant amended claims to correct the indefiniteness.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-4, 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hammons, Jr. et al. U.S. Patent 6,678,263 B1.

Regarding claim 1, figure 4 illustrates a full-diversity space-time concatenated encoder in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. In column 8 lines 1-35, figure 4 depicts an exemplary concatenated space-time encoder 110 for implementing a full- diversity space-time concatenated coding sequence.

Similar to the transmitter of figure 2, in column 7 lines 15-30, transmit data blocks 52 from the data terminal equipment are segmented and framed 56 into fixed frame length and applied to the mobile's channel space-time encoder 58 at a specified rate. Referring to figure 4, the coding sequence employs an outer code 112, which provides signals to a spatial formatter 114.

Hammons, Jr. et al. does not show a blockwise interleaver in figure 4. However, in column 35 lines 10-35, Hammons, Jr. et al. suggests that furthermore, the common practice in wireless communications of interleaving within code words to randomize burst errors. As further shown in figure 1 prior art, block channel interleaver 20 is employed after convolutional encoder 18 to randomize burst errors. In view of that, because channel coding and interleaving provides a measure of protection against corruption of the data during transmission over an imperfect channel, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Hammons, Jr. et al. teachings in figure 4 can be modified so that a block channel interleaver 20 can

be implemented after the outer code 112 to randomize the burst errors. A block channel interleaver is necessary due to fixed length frames generated by a data and segmentation and framing module 56 as shown in figure 2; see also column 7 lines 15-30.

In column 8 lines 1-30, signals from the spatial formatter 114 are separated for coding at inner codes 116a, 116b and 116c. In view of that, the spatial formatter 114 demultiplexes the signals for coding at inner codes 116a, 116b and 116c at a specified rate. Furthermore, Hammons, Jr. et al. invention is directed to the design of space-time codes to achieve full spatial diversity over fading channels; see column 5 lines 50-60.

Modulators 118a, 118b, and 118c modulates the signals provided by inner codes 116a, 116b and 116c for transmission via antennas 120a, 120b, 120c. In column 8 lines 40-50, Hammons, Jr. et al. further teaches an improvement of iterative soft-input, soft-output decoding for a space-time channel is marginalization since the receiver need only access the sum of the transmission from the L transmit antennas. This marginalization is improved via iteration.

Regarding claim 2, in column 8 lines 10-30, Hammons, Jr. et al. discloses in FIGS. 5a through 5d in which known trellis space-time codes proposed for QPSK modulation are shown to comply with the design rules of the invention. Space-time trellis codes are shown in FIGS. 5a through 5d, respectively, for 4, 8, 16, and 32 states,

which achieve full spatial diversity. In light of that, trellis space-time codes can be used for inner coding at some specified rate.

Regarding claim 3, as recited in claim 2, trellis space-time codes, also distinct codes of a specified rate, can be used for inner coding.

Regarding claim 4, as recited in claim 2, trellis space-time codes proposed for QPSK modulation are shown in FIGS. 5a through 5d to comply with the design rules of Hammons, Jr. et al. invention. Inner codes 116a, 116b and 116c apply trellis space-time codes to each individual signal to be transmitted via antennas 120a, 120b and 120c.

Regarding claim 8, claim 8 is rejected on the same ground as for claim 1 because of similar scope.

Regarding claim 9, claim 9 is rejected on the same ground as for claim 4 because of similar scope.

5. Claims 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elgamal et al. U.S. Patent 6,898,248 B1 and Hammons, Jr. et al. U.S. Patent 6,678,263 B1 in view of admitted prior art on pages 5-6 of the original disclosure.

Regarding claim 5, claim 1 discusses the preamble of claim 5, therefore, the preamble is rejected on the same ground as for claim 1. Elgamal et al.

teaches a method of symbol transmission employing space-time codes in a multiple antenna wireless communication system, and a method and apparatus for space-time signal processing and multi-user detection and decoding in a multiple antenna wireless communication system; see column 1 lines 20-30. Elgamal et al. invention applies to space-time Trellis coding receiver for spatial diversity. As common knowledge of an average skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, because the receiver performs the reverse process of the transmitter, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would have been motivated to employ Elgamal et al. receiver to receive the space-time signals transmitted by Hammons, Jr. et al. transmitter.

Referring to figure 4, in column 9 lines 5-45, Elgamal et al. teaches a soft-input/soft-output (SISO) multi-user detector module 44 provides joint soft-decision estimates of the n streams of data. Each of the detected streams is decoded by each of the separate SISO channel decoders 48a through 48n associated with the component channel codes. The detected streams are deinterleaved, as indicated at 46, prior to decoding. The output of the decoder is interleaved again, as indicated at 50a through 50n, to facilitate interleave processing by the multi-user detector. After each decoding iteration, the soft outputs from the channel decoders 48a through 48n are used to refine the processing performed by the SISO multi-user detector.

In light of the foregoing disclosure, the n streams of data are subjected to an iterative process of jointly decoding. The SISO decoder (see figure 4)

generates a first Extrinsic STS information stream on the bits coded by the first outer code and interleaved constituting an a priori information item.

Elgamal et al. does not teach the step of equalization of transmission channel at the receiving side.

Admitted prior art on page 6 of the original disclosure discusses a similar serially concatenated spatio-temporal trellis coded modulation in which it is essential advantage consisting in allowing joint equalization and inner spatio-temporal decoding by virtue of sub-optimal SISO algorithms of reduced complexity.

Elgamal et al. and admitted prior art teach in the same view of endeavor. Admitted prior art discusses the essential advantage of joint equalization and decoding. As further common knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art, because an equalizer is necessary to compensate for intersymbol interference resulting from channel distortion, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that that Elgamal et al. receiver can be modified to implement the step of joint equalization as taught in admitted prior art.

Deinterleavers 46a-46n (see figure 4) generate a second extrinsic information as claimed;

SISO decoders 48a-48n (see figure 4) generate third extrinsic information streams, corresponding to the claimed third extrinsic;

third extrinsic information streams are subjected to bit interleavers 50a-50n for generating extrinsic information stream; extrinsic information streams are reinjected into the iterative process for equalization of the transmission channel and joint decoding.

Regarding claim 10, claim 10 is rejected on the same ground as for claim 5 because of similar scope.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

6. Claims 6-7 and 11-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

***Conclusion***

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nefedov U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0118122 A1 discloses "Method And Apparatus For Channel Coding And Decoding For Modulation Schemes With Memory".

Whinnett et al. U.S. Patent 6,317,411 B1 discloses "Method And System For Transmitting And Receiving Signals Transmitted From An Antenna Array With Transmit Diversity Techniques".

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-3007. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 08:00 AM - 05:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KCT

*KhanhcongTran*

*11/25/2005*

*Examiner KHANH TRAN*